	Case 5:07-cv-02332-RMW Document 67	Filed 03/19/08 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3	E-filed on: <u>3/19/08</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	WILLIAM B. BURLEIGH	No. C-07-02332 RMW
12	Plaintiff,	ODDED DE, ADDITIONAL DECEMBANTS
13	v.	ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS
14	COUNTY OF MONTEREY, A Public Entity, and DOES 1 to 20	
15	Defendants.	[Re Docket No. 63]
16		
17		
18		
19	On March 5, 2008, plaintiff William Burleigh, proceeding pro se, filed a document titled	
20	"Burleigh's Amendment to Petition to Include Defendants in Lieu of Doe Defendants." Docket No. 63.	
21	A day later, he filed a document titled "Possible Error in Procedure," Docket No. 61, acknowledging	
22	that his filing to add defendants should have been preceded by a motion for leave to amend the	
23	complaint to add such defendants.	
24	Plaintiff is correct that he must, at this point in the litigation, either obtain the consent of the	
25	County of Monterey or seek leave of the court to file an amended pleading. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)	
26	While Rule 15 states that "leave shall be freely given when justice so requires," other parties to the	
27	litigation are permitted to oppose a request for leave to amend. Cf. California Architectural Bldg	
28	Products, Inc. v. Franciscan Ceramics, Inc., 818 F	F.2d 1466, 1472 (9th Cir. 1987) ("Valid reasons for

ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS— No. C-07-02332 RMW MAG

Case 5:07-cv-02332-RMW Document 67 Filed 03/19/08 Page 2 of 3 denying leave to amend include undue delay, bad faith, prejudice, and futility.") (citing Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)). Should plaintiff still wish to add defendants, plaintiff shall file a motion for leave to amend his complaint noticed on the appropriate schedule as set forth in N.D. Cal. Local Rule 7-2 within twenty (20) days of the date of this order. DATED: 3/18/08 United States District Judge

Case 5:07-cv-02332-RMW Document 67 Filed 03/19/08 Page 3 of 3

1	A copy of this order was mailed onto:		
2	Plaintiff (pro se):		
3	William Burleigh 1 Paso Hondo Carmel Valley, CA 93924		
5	Counsel for Defendants:		
6			
7	Frank G. Tiesen Office of the County Counsel		
8	County of Monterey 168 W. Alisal Street, Third Floor Salinas, CA 93901-2680		
9	Email: tiesenf@co.monterey.ca.us		
10			
11	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this order to co-counsel, as necessary.		
12	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this order to co-counsel, as necessary.		
13	DATED: 3/19/08 /s/ MAG Chambers of Judge Whyte		
14	Chambers of Judge Whyte		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS— No. C-07-02332 RMW		